

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/711,440	11/13/2000	David Lipson	2	7710
7	10/23/2003		EXAMINER	
Peter Forrest			JUNG, WILLIAM C	
7330 Bancroft Way Inver Grove Heights, MN 55077-3115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	7
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	3 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/Y k
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/-1
** - ** •••	09/711,440	LIPSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William Jung	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma sply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tte, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	? July 2003 .		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica	tion.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4-13, and 1518</u> is/are rejected	d.		
7) Claim(s) <u>3 and 14</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	= ' '	•	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		_ disapproved by the Examiner	•
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	.xammor.		•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H S I	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn phonty under 55 c.c.	5. g 1 15(a)-(a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		n Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			tana
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	iage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:			
Attachment(s)	. ,	**	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) D Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 09/711,440

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ragauskas et al (US 5,388,583) in view of Alexandrov et al (ISPUB.com).

Claims 1, 2, and 11: Ragauskas et al substantially disclose of an ultrasound method and apparatus where the ultrasonic transducer is dynamically coupled to the skull to monitor intracranial blood pressure (col. 3, lines 24-59). More specifically, Ragauskas et al disclose of ultrasound transducer, which is dynamically coupled to the skull where the mounting location can be changed over course of monitoring process as seen in figures 1-3. More specifically, the mounting locations 34, 34', 34'' and 32, 32', 32'' are shown in figures 2 and 3. In conjunction with ultrasound transducer and ultrasound system, Ragauskas et al disclose that the ICP and the cerebrovascular blood flow is assessed by measuring non-ultrasonic based diagnostic tests such as the intracranial pressure pulses and their reactions to various tests (jugular veins compression, hyperventilation, retention or respiration, various pharmacological tests, etc.) indicate accurately the type of pathology (col. 1, lines 20-30). Alexandrov et al teaches that the transcranial Doppler can be used to diagnose ischemic cerebrovascular disease including ischemic stroke or narrow of the intracranial artery.

Application/Control Number: 09/711,440

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 4 and 5: Furthermore, Ragauskas et al ('583) discloses of image processing and image display of the ultrasonic data acquired from the method and apparatus above as shown in flow chart in figure 18.

Claims 6-10: In addition, Ragauskas et al ('583) disclose of measuring and assessing the changes in blood flow and blood flow characteristic such as improper blood circulation, i.e., normal and abnormal (inadequate or diffused blood flow).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Ragauskas et al ('583) with the teachings of Alexandrov et al to achieve the claimed invention.

3. Claims 12, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ragauskas et al (US 5,388,583) and Alexandrov et al as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Ragauskas et al (US 5,951,477).

Ragauskas et al ('583) and Alexandrov et al substantially disclose of all claimed invention in claims 12, 13, and 15. Ragauskas et al ('477) further teach that the ultrasound transducer 30 can be coupled to the ocular opening of the skull as shown in figure 1 along with coupling medium 28. The ultrasound transducer is designed to measure the ICP via flow inside the optic artery (col. 1, line 60 – col. 2, line 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Ragauskas et al ('583) and to the teachings of Ragauskas et al ('477) to achieve the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/711,440

Art Unit: 3737

4. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Ragauskas* et al (US 5,388,583) and *Alexandrov et al* as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of *Michaeli* (US 6,328,694).

Ragauskas et al ('583) and Alexandrov et al substantially disclose of all claimed invention in claims 16-18. Michaeli further teaches that the measurement accuracy of the transcranial Doppler can be improved by use of acoustic window through bone, nasal or aural opening (col. 1, lines 37-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Ragauskas et al ('583) and to the teachings of Michaeli to achieve the claimed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/711,440 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-305-3256. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

6XJ October 19, 2003

DENNIS W, RUHL UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEI